

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 RICHARD W. PETERS,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 C/O RAYMOND, *et al.*,
10 Defendants.
11

Case No.: 3:15-CV-00493-RCJ-WGC

ORDER

12
13 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate
14 Judge (ECF No. 117¹) entered on October 1, 2018, recommending that the Court grant
15 and deny in part Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 88). On
16 October 29, 2018, Plaintiff filed Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and
17 Recommendation (ECF No. 126).
18

19 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the
20 objections of the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other
21 relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2.
22 The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF
23 No. 117) entered on October 1, 2018 should be ADOPTED and ACCEPTED.
24

25 ///

26 ///


27
28 _____
¹ Refers to Court's docket number.

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF
2 No. 88) is DENIED on the basis that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative
3 remedies.
4

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment
6 (ECF No. 88) is GRANTED in favor of Defendants BROOKS, RAYMOND and BACA
7 and DENIED as to Defendant BRANNON.
8

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated this 9th day of November, 2018.

11 
12 ROBERT C. JONES
13 Senior District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28